Instructor: Dr. K. Stanglin  
Office phone: 476-2772  
Email: keithstanglin@gmail.com  
stanglin@austingrad.edu

I want you to do well in this course, so please let me know if you are having difficulty with the class material.

**Course Description:** This course will explore philosophical issues raised by religious and specifically Christian theological claims. A discussion of careful, reasoned methodology, and an exploration of the relationship between faith and reason will serve as the groundwork for the course. The course will then focus on understanding and analyzing foundational religious issues in relation to philosophical principles. Topics will include questions that have to do with the existence and nature of God, and the relationship between God and the world.

**Objectives:** After taking this course, students will be able to:

1. Describe some of the current trends and figures in philosophy of religion.
3. Summarize the main issues and arguments concerning the existence, nature, and attributes of God.
4. Discuss different views of God’s relationship to the world and their implications.
5. Reflect critically about philosophical topics, articulating and illustrating their theological and practical implications.

**Format:** This is an inquiry-based course that will practice philosophical discussion of religious questions. It will also include some lectures, as well as focused analysis and discussion of the assigned texts in class.

**Required Texts:**

**Note about the PR textbook:** It comes in many editions. It is permissible to purchase an older edition; most of the course readings marked “PR” will appear in previous editions. The few readings that do not appear in older editions will need to be obtained from the library reserve shelf.

**Attendance Policy:**

Students are expected to attend classes regularly. Especially in a short course such as this one, in which a whole semester’s worth of material is packed into five days, attendance is imperative.

In the event of illness, family emergency, or an extenuating circumstance, it is the responsibility of the student to notify the instructor as soon as possible of the reason for the absence. A doctor’s note is required for excusing personal illness. Print a hard copy for my records.

Anyone with an unexcused absence will be dropped from the course with a “WF” (withdrawn, failing).

**3 tardies = 1 unexcused absence.** You will be considered tardy if you are not present when the roll is checked or if you leave during class. If you are tardy, it is your responsibility to make sure you were not counted absent. But if you come in more than 10 minutes late, or leave before the end of class without telling me why, you will be counted absent, and will not be allowed to take the test or quiz. Take care of all business before and after class and during the breaks.

**Academic Honesty:**

AGST places a high priority on honesty and a biblical commitment to truth. Incidents of cheating, plagiarism, or any other activities deemed dishonest will result in penalties. These penalties may range from receiving a zero (0%) on the assignment to failing the course or dismissal from the school. (According to Webster’s Collegiate Dictionary, to “plagiarize” is to steal and use as one’s own the ideas, words, etc., of another. Collaborating on written assignments that should be done individually would fall under this category of dishonesty. See also the definitions and descriptions in the catalog or student handbook.)

**Classroom Expectations and Decorum:**

I expect all students to behave in a Christian manner in and out of the classroom. Please turn off cell phones. You may use laptops for taking notes only.

**Course Requirements:**

1. Reading, class preparation, quizzes, and participation. Every student should carefully read all required readings. Philosophy takes a long time to read and comprehend, so plan accordingly. It is hard work with a great reward. Readings for each day’s discussion should be complete before coming to class (see schedule below). For readings not in the textbooks (that is, not marked “Evans” or “PR”), a URL will be provided; bring hard copies to class for the purpose of discussion. (On bracketed [PR] readings, see below #6.) On the final exam, you will be asked to sign a statement indicating how much you actually read.

Note well: For this short course, it is expected that students will come to class the first day with all the readings finished. During the week of class, there will only be time to review the readings in preparation for class the next day.
Reading assignments will be accompanied by prepared discussion questions and vocabulary (available at the Faculty Resources webpage, under my name and then the course name at http://austingrad.edu/resources/faculty). Study these to prepare for class (as well as for quizzes and exams). Daily preparation will be evaluated by reading quizzes. In addition, be prepared to discuss and answer questions about the material in class. Your participation in class discussion (which includes relevant questions and thoughtful responses) may also contribute to the final grade. ca. 50 pts.

2. The student should keep a notebook containing all lecture notes and handouts to prepare for exams.

3. Two exams. There will be one mid-week exam and a comprehensive final. Study your discussion questions, vocabulary, and notes. 75 and 100 pts. The final exam will be a take-home, open-note exam that should be done individually in the presence of an approved proctor. Completed exams should be scanned and emailed to the professor no later than 5:00 pm, Friday, June 12. Late exams will be penalized 10% per day late.

4. Problem paper. In your opinion, what is the most difficult (philosophical) problem that faces Christianity? State the criticism/problem in its strongest form, and tell why you find it difficult to answer. This paper should be at least 300 words, type-written. Due beginning of class on June 1. 25 pts.

5. Topical paper. Each student will select some philosophical issue and do independent reflection concerning it during the course. You will write a 6- to 8-page paper. Topics that arise from the readings will be appropriate, or you may suggest your own topic of interest. I will have the final right of approval. Topics are due, in writing, on or before June 5.

The content must be based on a philosophical claim over which there may be some reasoned disagreement, and you must defend a particular viewpoint. For example, “A discussion of natural law ethics” would be an unacceptable thesis, but “I defend natural law ethics for the following reasons…” would be acceptable. The thesis should be constructive (not negatively stated) and succinct.

The paper should be divided into two main sections. In the first section, you will make your positive case in favor of your viewpoint. In the second, you will raise and refute the strongest objections to your claim. N.B.: Do not short-change the second section. You must convince me that you have read deeply and thought seriously about your opponents’ points of view, and that you can credibly refute their best objections.

You should explore your topic fairly deeply—consulting a variety of reputable sources would be appropriate. The paper should be emailed to the professor by or before 5:00 pm, Friday, July 17, to be considered on time.

Additional guidelines:
  a. 6-8 pages (Times New Roman, double-spaced, not including cover page and bibliography).
  b. Use Turabian style footnotes and proper, academic English style.
c. Assessment criteria will include such things as: clearly stated purpose, logical organization, faultless style, evidence of research, and interaction with sources.

d. At least five scholarly sources should be consulted. These sources may include relevant surveys, special monographs, and peer-reviewed journal articles. Do not cite unsigned articles (whether online or in print). Do not cite sources in the bibliography unless they are used in the paper.

e. Papers are due on July 17. Papers will be penalized 10% per weekday late. Papers will not be accepted after July 31. 100 pts.

6. MATS Required Credit/BACS Extra Credit: “Study Questions” paper. Choose one reading from PR that appears in brackets [PR…], read it, and answer all the study questions that appear at the end of that particular reading. Although paper length will vary depending on the reading selected and number of questions, the paper should be at least three pages long (double-spaced). More important, the responses should be thorough and sufficiently answer the questions. This paper is to be done individually. It is due on the day when that reading is scheduled to be covered. Late papers will be unacceptable. MATS: 50 pts. BACS: Up to 10 extra pts. on final exam.


Make-up Policy:
A test or quiz may be made up only if the absence is excused (see “Attendance Policy” above). Contact or see me immediately to arrange it. All make-up tests will be entirely essay format. There will be no make-ups for unexcused absences. Exams will not be given early.

Grading Scale: ca. 350 (BACS) 450 (MATS) points total.
90-100% = A  80-89 = B (“Good”)  70-79 = C (“Average”)  60-69 = D  0-59 = F

Assignments/Dates/Grades Summary:
Reading quizzes 50 pts.
Problem paper (June 1) 25 pts.
Study questions paper (MATS) 50 pts.
Mid-week Exam (June 3) 75 pts.
Topics due (June 5)
Final exam (by June 12) 100 pts.
Book review (by June 19) (MATS) 50 pts.
Topical paper (by July 17) 100 pts.

Schedule and Readings:

Monday
Introduction
Evans, pp. 17-22, 57-61.
PR, Introduction

**Religious Experience**
Evans, ch. 4.
PR, W. James, “Religious Experiences as Feelings Forming the Root of Religion,” from *Varieties of Religious Experience*, lectures 16 to beginning of 18, available at Google books
[PR (5th ed.), Ludwig Feuerbach, “God Is a Projection of Human Nature”]

**Tuesday**
**Faith and Reason**
Evans, pp. 22-35.
PR, Thomas Aquinas, “The Harmony of Reason and Revelation,” from *Summa contra Gentiles* Bk. I.3-7, available at [http://www2.nd.edu/Departments/Maritain/etext/gc.htm](http://www2.nd.edu/Departments/Maritain/etext/gc.htm)
PR, Blaise Pascal, “The Wager”
PR, William Clifford, “The Ethics of Belief”
PR, William James, “The Will to Believe”
David Bentley Hart, “Believe It or Not,” available at [http://www.firstthings.com/article/2010/05/believe-it-or-not](http://www.firstthings.com/article/2010/05/believe-it-or-not)
[PR, Søren Kierkegaard, “Truth Is Subjectivity”]

**God’s Existence**
Evans, ch. 3.
PR, Anselm, “Classic Ontological Argument,” from *Proslogion* chs. 2-4; and
PR, Gaunilo, “Critique of Anselm’s Argument,” from *On Behalf of the Fool* 2-6; both available at [http://www.ccel.org/ccel/anselm/basic_works.html](http://www.ccel.org/ccel/anselm/basic_works.html)
PR, Aquinas, “Classic Cosmological Argument,” from *Summa contra Gentiles* I.13
PR, W. Paley, “Analogical Teleological Argument,” from *Natural Theology*, ch. 1, available at Google books
PR (5th ed.), C.S. Lewis, “Moral Argument for God’s Existence” (from chs. 1 and 4 of *Mere Christianity*)
[PR, J. L. Mackie, “Critique of the Cosmological Argument”]

**Knowing God without Arguments**
Evans, pp. 183-211.
PR, A. Plantinga, “The Reformed Objection to Natural Theology”
[PR, W. Hasker, “The Case of the Intellectually Sophisticated Theist”]

**Wednesday**
**Religious Language**
Evans, pp. 52-55.
Thomas Aquinas, *Summa theologiae* Ia.xiii.5 resp. (that is, focus on the “I answer that…” section), available at [http://www.newadvent.org/summa/1013.htm#article5](http://www.newadvent.org/summa/1013.htm#article5)

**Divine Attributes**
Evans, ch. 2.
(Pseudo-)Dionysius the Areopagite, *Mystical Theology*, available at
http://www.tertullian.org/fathers/areopagite_06_mystic_theology.htm
PR, Aquinas, “God Is Omnipotent,” from *Summa theologiae* Ia.xxv.3
PR, George Mavrodes, “Some Puzzles concerning Omnipotence”
PR, Boethius, “God Is Timeless,” from *De consolatione philosophiae* Bk. 5, available at
http://www.ccel.org/ccel/boethius/consolation.vi.html
PR, Nicholas Wolterstorff, “God Is Everlasting”

*Divine Action*
Evans, pp. 42-52.
PR, P. Helm, “Providence: Risky or Risk-free?” from *The Providence of God*
PR, David Basinger, “Middle Knowledge and Classical Christian Thought”
[PR, Cobb and Griffin, “God Is Creative-Responsive Love”]

*Thursday*

*Problem of Evil*
Evans, ch. 7.
PR, Gottfried Leibniz, “Best of All Possible Worlds Theodicy”
[PR, J. Hick, “Soul-Making Theodicy”]

*Miracles*
Evans, ch. 5.
PR, Hume, “The Evidence for Miracles Is Weak,” from “Of Miracles”
PR, J. L. Mackie, “Miracles and Testimony,” from *The Miracle of Theism*
[PR, R. Swinburne, “Miracles and Historical Evidence”]

*Life after Death*
PR, J. Hick, “Resurrection of the Person”
[PR, R. Swinburne, “The Soul Needs a Brain to Continue to Function”]

*Friday*

*Religion and Science*
Evans, ch. 6.
PR, R. Dawkins, “Science Discredits Religion”
PR, Daniel Dennett, “An Evolutionary Account of Religion,” from *Breaking the Spell*
David B. Hart, “Daniel Dennett Hunts the Snark,” available at
[PR, Alvin Plantinga, “Naturalism and Science Are Incompatible”]

*Religious Diversity*
Evans, pp. 211-16.
PR, Dalai Lama, “Buddhism and Other Religions”
PR, K. Rahner, “Religious Inclusivism”
[PR, J. Hick, “Religious Pluralism”]
Morality (time permitting)
Evans, pp. 87-96.
PR, Aquinas, “Ethics and Natural Law”
[PR, A. MacIntyre, “Which God Ought We to Obey?”]
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